IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 11TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 20TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 27057 of 2007(U)

PETITIONERS:
----

1. MISS.SANDHYA GOPALAKRISHNAN,
D/O.SATHEE DEVI, THRIDAPPILLY HOUSE,
KOONAMMAVU P.O., ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

2. SREEJITH.M., S/O.P.MADHUSOODHANA KURUP,
S-2 QUARTERS, MEDICAL COLLEGE CAMPUS,
VANDANAM, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.

3. MISS NEENU MARIA VARGHESE,
D/O.M.D.VARGHESE, THENAMKUDATH MAPRANI,
BEHIND R.C. FORANE CHURCH, CHALAKKUDY.

BY ADV. SRI.S.K.BALACHANDRAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE PRINCIPAL, INSTITUTE FOR
COMMUNICATIVE & COGNITIVE NEURO SCIENCES,
KAVALAPPARA, SHORNUR, PIN- 679 523.

2. UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT REPRESENTED BY
ITS REGISTRAR, CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O.,
PIN- 673 635.

3. THE DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,
VIKAS BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD
ADV. SRI. P.C.SASIDHARAN (SC FOR UNIVERSITY)

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC,J.

W.P (C) No. 27057 of 2007

Dated this the 11th day of September, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioners are Graduates in Audiology and Speech Language Pathology (BASLP). They applied for admission to Audiology and Speech Language Pathology (MASLP) for the academic year 2007-08. According to them interview was scheduled to 8.8.2007. Being rank Nos. 1 to 3 petitioners were confident of getting admission. However, the interview was postponed and by Exhibit -P2 a revised schedule was published by the respondents fixing the last date of application as 19.9.2007. According to the petitioners such a schedule has fixed with malafide reasons to help the on-going students. Petitioners insist that the original schedule should be complied with so that they are ensured of admission to MASLP.

The only consequence of rescheduling as done in Exhibit-P2 is that there will be an increase in the number of candidates. Consequently it may reduce the chances of admission of the petitioners which they initially had. This does not affect any of the legal rights in as much as they have the only right to be considered for admission. Admission to the Higher Course

depends upon the merit of the candidates which they have to prove in the said admission process. I do not see that any of their legal rights are affected by the rescheduling that has now been done by the University or in the consequential increase in the candidates. The plea of mala fides is too vague to be taken note of. I do not find any merit in the writ petition and it is dismissed.

(ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE)

ma

K.THANKAPPAN,J

CRL.A. NO.92 OF 1999

ORDER

25th May, 2007